

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
MOHAMMED THANI A.T. AL THANI,

Plaintiff,

-v-

ALAN J. HANKE *et al.*,

Defendants.

20 Civ. 4765 (JPC)

-----X
MARTIN JOHN STEVENS,

Plaintiff,

-v-

ALAN J. HANKE *et al.*,

Defendants.

20 Civ. 8181 (JPC)

ORDER

-----X
JOHN P. CRONAN, United States District Judge:


The Court has taken under advisement Defendant Hanke’s request to stay the case, Dkt. 388, and thanks Plaintiffs for their response, Dkt. 389.¹ As to Plaintiff Mohammed Thani A.T. Al Thani’s pending motion for summary judgment, *see* Dkts. 380-384, which is unopposed, Plaintiff Al Thani shall advise the Court no later than April 4, 2024, at 5:00 p.m., whether Plaintiff has complied with Local Civil Rule 56.2, which requires a counseled litigant moving for summary judgment against a pro se litigant to “serve and file as a separate document, together with the

¹ The Court refers herein to the filings in *Mohammed Thani A.T. Al Thani v. Hanke, et al.*, No. 20 Civ. 4765.

papers in support of the motion,” the provided form notice captioned “Notice To Pro Se Litigant Who Opposes a Motion For Summary Judgment,” with full texts of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56 and Local Civil Rule 56.1 attached. *See also Thomas v. Jacobs*, No. 22-512, 2024 WL 631404, at *1-2 (2d Cir. Feb. 15, 2024).

SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 3, 2024
New York, New York



JOHN P. CRONAN
United States District Judge